61th International Mathematical Olympiad

Day 1. Official Solutions

Problem 1. Consider the convex quadrilateral ABCD. The point P is in the interior of ABCD. The following ratio equalities hold:

$$\angle PAD : \angle PBA : \angle DPA = 1 : 2 : 3 = \angle CBP : \angle BAP : \angle BPC.$$

Prove that the following three lines meet in a point: the internal bisectors of angles $\angle ADP$ and $\angle PCB$ and the perpendicular bisector of segment AB.

Solution 1. Let $\varphi = \angle PAD$ and $\psi = \angle CBP$; then we have $\angle PBA = 2\varphi$, $\angle DPA = 3\varphi$, $\angle BAP = 2\psi$ and $\angle BPC = 3\psi$. Let X be the point on segment AD with $\angle XPA = \varphi$. Then

$$\angle PXD = \angle PAX + \angle XPA = 2\varphi = \angle DPA - \angle XPA = \angle DPX.$$

It follows that triangle DPX is isosceles with DX = DP and therefore the internal angle bisector of $\angle ADP$ coincides with the perpendicular bisector of XP. Similarly, if Y is a point on BC such that $\angle BPY = \psi$, then the internal angle bisector of $\angle PCB$ coincides with the perpendicular bisector of PY. Hence, we have to prove that the perpendicular bisectors of XP, PY, and AB are concurrent.



Notice that

$$\angle AXP = 180^{\circ} - \angle PXD = 180^{\circ} - 2\varphi = 180^{\circ} - \angle PBA.$$

Hence the quadrilateral AXPB is cyclic; in other words, X lies on the circumcircle of triangle APB. Similarly, Y lies on the circumcircle of triangle APB. It follows that the perpendicular bisectors of XP, PY, and AB all pass through the center of circle (ABYPX). This finishes the proof.

Comment. Introduction of points X and Y seems to be the key step in the solution above. Note that the same point X could be introduced in different ways, e.g., as the point on the ray CP beyond P such that $\angle PBX = \varphi$, or as a point where the circle (APB) meets again AB. Different definitions of X could lead to different versions of the further solution.

Solution 2. We define the angles $\varphi = \angle PAD$, $\psi = \angle CBP$ and use $\angle PBA = 2\varphi$, $\angle DPA = 3\varphi$, $\angle BAP = 2\psi$ and $\angle BPC = 3\psi$ again. Let O be the circumcenter of $\triangle APB$.

Notice that $\angle ADP = 180^{\circ} - \angle PAD - \angle DPA = 180^{\circ} - 4\varphi$, which, in particular, means that $4\varphi < 180^{\circ}$. Further, $\angle POA = 2\angle PBA = 4\varphi = 180^{\circ} - \angle ADP$, therefore the quadrilateral ADPO is cyclic. By AO = OP, it follows that $\angle ADO = \angle ODP$. Thus DO is the internal bisector of $\angle ADP$. Similarly, CO is the internal bisector of $\angle PCB$.



Finally, O lies on the perpendicular bisector of AB as it is the circumcenter of $\triangle APB$. Therefore the three given lines in the problem statement concur at point O.

Problem 2. The real numbers a, b, c, d are such that $a \ge b \ge c \ge d > 0$ and a + b + c + d = 1. Prove that

$$(a+2b+3c+4d) a^a b^b c^c d^d < 1.$$

Solution 1. The weighted AM–GM inequality with weights a, b, c, d gives

$$a^a b^b c^c d^d \leqslant a \cdot a + b \cdot b + c \cdot c + d \cdot d = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$$

so it suffices to prove that $(a + 2b + 3c + 4d)(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2) < 1 = (a + b + c + d)^3$. This can be done in various ways, for example:

$$(a+b+c+d)^3 > a^2(a+3b+3c+3d) + b^2(3a+b+3c+3d) + c^2(3a+3b+c+3d) + d^2(3a+3b+3c+d) \ge (a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2) \cdot (a+2b+3c+4d).$$

Solution 2. From $b \ge d$ we get

$$a + 2b + 3c + 4d \leq a + 3b + 3c + 3d = 3 - 2a.$$

If $a < \frac{1}{2}$, then the statement can be proved by

$$(a+2b+3c+4d) a^{a}b^{b}c^{c}d^{d} \leq (3-2a)a^{a}a^{b}a^{c}a^{d} = (3-2a)a = 1 - (1-a)(1-2a) < 1.$$

From now on we assume $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < 1$.

By b, c, d < 1 - a we have

$$b^{b}c^{c}d^{d} < (1-a)^{b} \cdot (1-a)^{c} \cdot (1-a)^{d} = (1-a)^{1-a}.$$

Therefore,

$$(a+2b+3c+4d)a^{a}b^{b}c^{c}d^{d} < (3-2a)a^{a}(1-a)^{1-a}$$

For 0 < x < 1, consider the functions

 $f(x) = (3-2x)x^x(1-x)^{1-x}$ and $g(x) = \log f(x) = \log(3-2x) + x\log x + (1-x)\log(1-x);$ hereafter, log denotes the natural logarithm. It is easy to verify that

$$g''(x) = -\frac{4}{(3-2x)^2} + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{1-x} = \frac{1+8(1-x)^2}{x(1-x)(3-2x)^2} > 0,$$

so g is strictly convex on (0, 1).

By $g(\frac{1}{2}) = \log 2 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} = 0$ and $\lim_{x \to 1^-} g(x) = 0$, we have $g(x) \leq 0$ (and hence $f(x) \leq 1$) for all $x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, and therefore

$$(a + 2b + 3c + 4d)a^a b^b c^c d^d < f(a) \le 1.$$

Comment. For a large number of variables $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \ldots \ge a_n > 0$ with $\sum_i a_i = 1$, the inequality

$$\left(\sum_{i} ia_{i}\right) \prod_{i} a_{i}^{a_{i}} \leqslant 1$$

does not necessarily hold. Indeed, let $a_2 = a_3 = \ldots = a_n = \varepsilon$ and $a_1 = 1 - (n-1)\varepsilon$, where n and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/n)$ will be chosen later. Then

$$\left(\sum_{i} ia_{i}\right) \prod_{i} a_{i}^{a_{i}} = \left(1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\varepsilon\right)\varepsilon^{(n-1)\varepsilon}(1 - (n-1)\varepsilon)^{1 - (n-1)\varepsilon}.$$
(1)

If $\varepsilon = C/n^2$ with an arbitrary fixed C > 0 and $n \to \infty$, then the factors $\varepsilon^{(n-1)\varepsilon} = \exp((n-1)\varepsilon\log\varepsilon)$ and $(1 - (n-1)\varepsilon)^{1-(n-1)\varepsilon}$ tend to 1, so the limit of (1) in this set-up equals 1 + C/2. This is not simply greater than 1, but it can be arbitrarily large. **Problem 3.** There are 4n pebbles of weights $1, 2, 3, \ldots, 4n$. Each pebble is coloured in one of n colours and there are four pebbles of each colour. Show that we can arrange the pebbles into two piles so that the following two conditions are both satisfied:

- The total weights of both piles are the same.
- Each pile contains two pebbles of each colour.

Solution 1. Let us pair the pebbles with weights summing up to 4n + 1, resulting in the set S of 2n pairs: $\{1, 4n\}$, $\{2, 4n - 1\}$, ..., $\{2n, 2n + 1\}$. It suffices to partition S into two sets, each consisting of n pairs, such that each set contains two pebbles of each color.

Introduce a multi-graph G (i.e., a graph with loops and multiple edges allowed) on n vertices, so that each vertex corresponds to a color. For each pair of pebbles from S, we add an edge between the vertices corresponding to the colors of those pebbles. Note that each vertex has degree 4. Also, a desired partition of the pebbles corresponds to a coloring of the edges of G in two colors, say red and blue, so that each vertex has degree 2 with respect to each color (i.e., each vertex has equal red and blue degrees).

To complete the solution, it suffices to provide such a coloring for each component G' of G. Since all degrees of the vertices are even, in G' there exists an Euler circuit C (i.e., a circuit passing through each edge of G' exactly once). Note that the number of edges in C is even (it equals twice the number of vertices in G'). Hence all the edges can be colored red and blue so that any two edges adjacent in C have different colors (one may move along C and color the edges one by one alternating red and blue colors). Thus in G' each vertex has equal red and blue degrees, as desired.

Comment 1. To complete Solution 1, any partition of the edges of G into circuits of even lengths could be used. In the solution above it was done by the reference to the well-known Euler Circuit Lemma: Let G be a connected graph with all its vertices of even degrees. Then there exists a circuit passing through each edge of G exactly once.

Solution 2. As in Solution 1, we will show that it is possible to partition 2n pairs $\{1, 4n\}$, $\{2, 4n-1\}, \ldots, \{2n, 2n+1\}$ into two sets, each consisting of n pairs, such that each set contains two pebbles of each color.

Introduce a multi-graph (i.e., a graph with multiple edges allowed) Γ whose vertices correspond to pebbles; thus we have 4n vertices of n colors so that there are four vertices of each color. Connect pairs of vertices $\{1, 4n\}, \{2, 4n - 1\}, \ldots, \{2n, 2n + 1\}$ by 2n black edges.

Further, for each monochromatic quadruple of vertices i, j, k, ℓ we add a pair of grey edges forming a matching, e.g., (i, j) and (k, ℓ) . In each of n colors of pebbles we can choose one of three possible matchings; this results in 3^n ways of constructing grey edges. Let us call each of 3^n possible graphs Γ a cyclic graph. Note that in a cyclic graph Γ each vertex has both black and grey degrees equal to 1. Hence Γ is a union of disjoint cycles, and in each cycle black and grey edges alternate (in particular, all cycles have even lengths).

It suffices to find a cyclic graph with all its cycle lengths divisible by 4. Indeed, in this case, for each cycle we start from some vertex, move along the cycle and recolor the black edges either to red or to blue, alternating red and blue colors. Now blue and red edges define the required partition, since for each monochromatic quadruple of vertices the grey edges provide a bijection between the endpoints of red and blue edges.

Among all possible cyclic graphs, let us choose graph Γ_0 having the minimal number of components (i.e., cycles). The following claim completes the solution.

Claim. In Γ_0 , all cycle lengths are divisible by 4.

Proof. Assuming the contrary, choose a cycle C_1 with an odd number of grey edges. For some color c the cycle C_1 contains exactly one grey edge joining two vertices i, j of color c, while the other edge joining two vertices k, ℓ of color c lies in another cycle C_2 . Now delete edges (i, j) and (k, ℓ) and add edges (i, k) and (j, ℓ) . By this switch we again obtain a cyclic graph Γ'_0 and decrease the number of cycles by 1. This contradicts the choice of Γ_0 .

Comment 2. Use of an auxiliary graph and reduction to a new problem in terms of this graph is one of the crucial steps in both solutions presented. In fact, graph G from Solution 1 could be obtained from any graph Γ from Solution 2 by merging the vertices of the same color.

61th International Mathematical Olympiad

Day 2. Official Solutions

Problem 4. There is an integer n > 1. There are n^2 stations on a slope of a mountain, all at different altitudes. Each of two cable car companies, A and B, operates k cable cars; each cable car provides a transfer from one of the stations to a higher one (with no intermediate stops). The k cable cars of A have k different starting points and k different finishing points, and a cable car which starts higher also finishes higher. The same conditions hold for B. We say that two stations are *linked* by a company if one can start from the lower station and reach the higher one by using one or more cars of that company (no other movements between stations are allowed).

Determine the smallest positive integer k for which one can guarantee that there are two stations that are linked by both companies.

Answer: $k = n^2 - n + 1$.

Solution. Number the stations by $1, 2, ..., n^2$ from the bottom to the top.

We start with showing that for any $k \leq n^2 - n$ there may be no pair of stations linked by both companies. Clearly, it suffices to provide such an example for $k = n^2 - n$.

Let company A connect the pairs of stations of the form (i, i + 1), where $n \nmid i$. Then all pairs of stations (i, j) linked by A satisfy [i/n] = [j/n].

Let company B connect the pairs of the form (i, i+n), where $1 \le i \le n^2 - n$. Then pairs of stations (i, j) linked by B satisfy $i \equiv j \pmod{n}$. Clearly, no pair (i, j) satisfies both conditions, so there is no pair linked by both companies.

Now we show that for $k = n^2 - n + 1$ there always exist two required stations. Define an *A*-chain as a sequence of stations $a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_t$ such that company *A* connects a_i with a_{i+1} for all $1 \leq i \leq t-1$, but there is no *A*-car transferring from some station to a_1 and no *A*-car transferring from a_t to any other station. Define *B*-chains similarly. Moving forth and back, one easily sees that any station is included in a unique *A*-chain (possibly consisting of that single station), as well as in a unique *B*-chain. Now, put each station into a correspondence to the pair of the *A*-chain and the *B*-chain it belongs to.

All finishing points of A-cars are distinct, so there are $n^2 - k = n - 1$ stations that are not such finishing points. Each of them is a starting point of a unique A-chain, so the number of A-chains is n-1. Similarly, the number of B-chains also equals n-1. Hence, there are $(n-1)^2$ pairs consisting of an A- and a B-chain. Therefore, two of the n^2 stations correspond to the same pair, so that they belong to the same A-chain, as well as to the same B-chain. This means that they are linked by both companies, as required.

Comment 1. The condition that a car which starts higher also finishes higher is not used in the above solution.

Comment 2. If the number of stations were N, then the answer would be $N - \lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor + 1$. The solution above works verbatim for this generalization.

Problem 5. A deck of n > 1 cards is given. A positive integer is written on each card. The deck has the property that the arithmetic mean of the numbers on each pair of cards is also the geometric mean of the numbers on some collection of one or more cards.

For which n does it follow that the numbers on the cards are all equal?

Answer: For all integer n > 1.

Solution 1. Suppose that the numbers a_1, \ldots, a_n written on the cards are not all equal. Let $d = \gcd(a_1 \ldots, a_n)$. If d > 1 then replace the numbers a_1, \ldots, a_n by $\frac{a_1}{d}, \ldots, \frac{a_n}{d}$; all arithmetic and all geometric means will be divided by d, so we obtain another deck of cards satisfying the condition. Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\gcd(a_1 \ldots, a_n) = 1$.

We show two numbers, a_m and a_k such that their arithmetic mean, $\frac{a_m+a_k}{2}$ is different from the geometric mean of any (nonempty) subsequence of $a_1 \ldots a_n$, thus reaching a contradiction.

Choose the index $m \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $a_m = \max(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. Note that $a_m \ge 2$, because a_1, \ldots, a_n are not all equal. Let p be a prime divisor of a_m .

Let $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be an index such that $a_k = \max\{a_i : p \nmid a_i\}$. Due to $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$, not all a_i are divisible by p, so such a k exists. Note that $a_m > a_k$ because $a_m \ge a_k$, $p \mid a_m$ and $p \nmid a_k$.

Let $b = \frac{a_m + a_k}{2}$; we will show that b cannot be the geometric mean of any subsequence of a_1, \ldots, a_n .

Consider the geometric mean, $g = \sqrt[4]{a_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{i_t}}$ of an arbitrary subsequence of a_1, \ldots, a_n . If none of a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_t} is divisible by p, then they are not greater than a_k , so

$$g = \sqrt[t]{a_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{i_t}} \leqslant a_k < \frac{a_m + a_k}{2} = b,$$

and therefore $g \neq b$.

Otherwise, if at least one of a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_t} is divisible by p, then $2g = 2\sqrt[t]{a_{i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{i_t}}$ is either not an integer or is divisible by p, while $2b = a_m + a_k$ is an integer not divisible by p, so $g \neq b$ again.

Solution 2. Like in the previous solution, we argue indirectly and assume that the numbers a_1, \ldots, a_n written on the cards are not all equal and have no common divisor greater than 1. The arithmetic mean of any two numbers on two cards is half of an integer; on the other hand, it is a (some integer order) root of an integer. This means each pair's mean is an integer, so all numbers on the cards must be of the same parity; hence they all are odd. Let $d = \min \{ \gcd(a_i, a_j) : a_i \neq a_j \}$. By renumbering the cards we can assume that $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = d$, the sum $a_1 + a_2$ is maximal among such pairs, and $a_1 > a_2$.

We will show that $\frac{a_1+a_2}{2}$ cannot be the geometric mean of any subsequence of $a_1 \ldots, a_n$.

Let $a_1 = xd$ and $a_2 = yd$ where x, y are coprime, and suppose that there exist some $b_1, \ldots, b_t \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ whose geometric mean is $\frac{a_1+a_2}{2}$. Let $d_i = \gcd(a_1, b_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$ and let $D = d_1d_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot d_t$. Then

$$D = d_1 d_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot d_t \mid b_1 b_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_t = \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}\right)^t = \left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right)^t d^t.$$

We claim that $D \mid d^t$. Consider an arbitrary prime divisor p of D. Let $\nu_p(x)$ denote the exponent of p in the prime factorization of x. If $p \mid \frac{x+y}{2}$, then $p \nmid x, y$, so p is coprime with x; hence, $\nu_p(d_i) \leq \nu_p(a_1) = \nu_p(xd) = \nu_p(d)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq t$, therefore $\nu_p(D) = \sum_i \nu_p(d_i) \leq t\nu_p(d) = \nu_p(d^t)$. Otherwise, if p is coprime to $\frac{x+y}{2}$, we have $\nu_p(D) \leq \nu_p(d^t)$ trivially. The claim has been proved.

Notice that $d_i = \gcd(b_i, a_1) \ge d$ for $1 \le i \le t$: if $b_i \ne a_1$ then this follows from the definition of d; otherwise we have $b_i = a_1$, so $d_i = a_1 \ge d$. Hence, $D = d_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot d_t \ge d^t$, and the claim forces $d_1 = \ldots = d_t = d$.

Finally, by $\frac{a_1+a_2}{2} > a_2$ there must be some b_k which is greater than a_2 . From $a_1 > a_2 \ge d = \gcd(a_1, b_k)$ it follows that $a_1 \neq b_k$. Now the have a pair a_1, b_k such that $\gcd(a_1, b_k) = d$ but $a_1 + b_k > a_1 + a_2$; that contradicts the choice of a_1 and a_2 .

Problem 6. Prove that there exists a positive constant c such that the following statement is true:

Consider an integer n > 1, and a set S of n points in the plane such that the distance between any two different points in S is at least 1. It follows that there is a line ℓ separating Ssuch that the distance from any point of S to ℓ is at least $cn^{-1/3}$.

(A line ℓ separates a set of points \mathcal{S} if some segment joining two points in \mathcal{S} crosses ℓ .)

Note. Weaker results with $cn^{-1/3}$ replaced by $cn^{-\alpha}$ may be awarded points depending on the value of the constant $\alpha > 1/3$.

Solution. We prove that the desired statement is true with $c = \frac{1}{8}$. Set $\delta = \frac{1}{8}n^{-1/3}$. For any line ℓ and any point X, let X_{ℓ} denote the projection of X to ℓ ; a similar notation applies to sets of points.

Suppose that, for some line ℓ , the set S_{ℓ} contains two adjacent points X and Y with XY = 2d. Then the line perpendicular to ℓ and passing through the midpoint of segment XY separates S, and all points in S are at least d apart from ℓ . Thus, if $d \ge \delta$, then a desired line has been found. For the sake of contradiction, we assume that no such points exist, in any projection.

Choose two points A and B in S with the maximal distance M = AB (i.e., AB is a diameter of S); by the problem condition, $M \ge 1$. Denote by ℓ the line AB. The set S is contained in the intersection of two disks D_A and D_B of radius M centered at A and B, respectively. Hence, the projection S_{ℓ} is contained in the segment AB. Moreover, the points in S_{ℓ} divide that segment into at most n-1 parts, each of length less than 2δ . Therefore,



Choose a point H on segment AB with $AH = \frac{1}{2}$. Let P be a strip between the lines a and h perpendicular to AB and passing through A and H, respectively; we assume that P contains its boundary, which consists of lines a and h. Set $\mathcal{T} = P \cap S$ and let $t = |\mathcal{T}|$. By our assumption, segment AH contains at least $\left[\frac{1}{2}:(2\delta)\right]$ points of S_{ℓ} , which yields

$$t \ge \frac{1}{4\delta}.\tag{2}$$

Notice that \mathcal{T} is contained in $Q = P \cap D_B$. The set Q is a circular segment, and its projection Q_a is a line segment of length

$$2\sqrt{M^2 - \left(M - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} < 2\sqrt{M}.$$

On the other hand, for any two points $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $XY \ge 1$ and $X_{\ell}Y_{\ell} \le \frac{1}{2}$, so $X_aY_a = \sqrt{XY^2 - X_{\ell}Y_{\ell}^2} \ge \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$. To summarize, t points constituting \mathcal{T}_a lie on the segment of length less than $2\sqrt{M}$, and are at least $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ apart from each other. This yields $2\sqrt{M} > (t-1)\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$, or

$$t < 1 + \frac{4\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{3}} < 4\sqrt{M},\tag{3}$$

as $M \ge 1$.

Combining the estimates (1), (2), and (3), we finally obtain

$$\frac{1}{4\delta} \leqslant t < 4\sqrt{M} < 4\sqrt{2n\delta}, \quad \text{or} \quad 512n\delta^3 > 1,$$

which does not hold for the chosen value of δ .

Comment 1. As the proposer mentions, the exponent -1/3 in the problem statement is optimal. In fact, for any $n \ge 2$, there is a configuration S of n points in the plane such that any two points in S are at least 1 apart, but every line ℓ separating S is at most $c'n^{-1/3}\log n$ apart from some point in S; here c' is some absolute constant.

On the other hand, it is much easier to prove the estimate of the form $cn^{-1/2}$. E.g., setting $\delta = \frac{1}{16}n^{-1/2}$ and applying (1), we see that S is contained in a disk D of radius $\frac{1}{8}n^{1/2}$. On the other hand, for each point X of S, let D_X be the disk of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ centered at X; all these disks have disjoint interiors and lie within the disk concentric to D, of radius $\frac{1}{16}n^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{2}n^{1/2}$. Comparing the areas, we get

$$n \cdot \frac{\pi}{4} \le \pi \left(\frac{n^{1/2}}{16} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 < \frac{\pi n}{4}$$

which is a contradiction.

Comment 2. In this comment, we discuss some versions of the solution above, which avoid concentrating on the diameter of S. We start with introducing some terminology suitable for those versions.

Put $\delta = cn^{-1/3}$ for a certain sufficiently small positive constant c. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that, for some set S satisfying the conditions in the problem statement, there is no separating line which is at least δ apart from each point of S.

Let C be the convex hull of S. A line is separating if and only if it meets C (we assume that a line passing through a point of S is always separating). Consider a strip between two parallel separating lines a and a' which are, say, $\frac{1}{4}$ apart from each other. Define a *slice* determined by the strip as the intersection of S with the strip. The *length* of the slice is the diameter of the projection of the slice to a.

In this terminology, the arguments used in the proofs of (2) and (3) show that for any slice \mathcal{T} of length L, we have

$$\frac{1}{8\delta} \leqslant |\mathcal{T}| \leqslant 1 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{15}}L.$$
(4)

The key idea of the solution is to apply these estimates to a *peel slice*, where line a does not cross the interior of C. In the above solution, this idea was applied to one carefully chosen peel slice. Here, we outline some different approach involving many of them. We always assume that n is sufficiently large.

Consider a peel slice determined by lines a and a', where a contains no interior points of C. We orient a so that C lies to the left of a. Line a is called a supporting line of the slice, and the obtained direction is the direction of the slice; notice that the direction determines uniquely the supporting line and hence the slice. Fix some direction \mathbf{v}_0 , and for each $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$ denote by \mathcal{T}_{α} the peel slice whose direction is \mathbf{v}_0 rotated by α counterclockwise.

When speaking about the slice, we always assume that the figure is rotated so that its direction is vertical from the bottom to the top; then the points in \mathcal{T} get a natural order from the bottom to the top. In particular, we may speak about the top half $T(\mathcal{T})$ consisting of $\lfloor |\mathcal{T}|/2 \rfloor$ topmost points in \mathcal{T} , and similarly about its bottom half $B(\mathcal{T})$. By (4), each half contains at least 10 points when n is large. Claim. Consider two angles $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \pi/2]$ with $\beta - \alpha \ge 40\delta =: \phi$. Then all common points of \mathcal{T}_{α} and \mathcal{T}_{β} lie in $T(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}) \cap B(\mathcal{T}_{\beta})$.



Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to show that all those points lie in $T(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha})$. Let *a* be the supporting line of \mathcal{T}_{α} , and let ℓ be a line perpendicular to the direction of \mathcal{T}_{β} . Let P_1, \ldots, P_k list all points in \mathcal{T}_{α} , numbered from the bottom to the top; by (4), we have $k \ge \frac{1}{8}\delta^{-1}$.

Introduce the Cartesian coordinates so that the (oriented) line a is the y-axis. Let P_i be any point in $\mathsf{B}(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha})$. The difference of ordinates of P_k and P_i is at least $\frac{\sqrt{15}}{4}(k-i) > \frac{1}{3}k$, while their abscissas differ by at most $\frac{1}{4}$. This easily yields that the projections of those points to ℓ are at least

$$\frac{k}{3}\sin\phi - \frac{1}{4} \ge \frac{1}{24\delta} \cdot 20\delta - \frac{1}{4} > \frac{1}{4}$$

apart from each other, and P_k is closer to the supporting line of \mathcal{T}_β than P_i , so that P_i does not belong to \mathcal{T}_β .

Now, put $\alpha_i = 40\delta i$, for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{1}{40}\delta^{-1} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \rfloor$, and consider the slices \mathcal{T}_{α_i} . The Claim yields that each point in \mathcal{S} is contained in at most two such slices. Hence, the union \mathcal{U} of those slices contains at least

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{8\delta} \cdot \frac{1}{40\delta} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{\lambda}{\delta^2}$$

points (for some constant λ), and each point in \mathcal{U} is at most $\frac{1}{4}$ apart from the boundary of C.

It is not hard now to reach a contradiction with (1). E.g., for each point $X \in \mathcal{U}$, consider a closest point f(X) on the boundary of C. Obviously, $f(X)f(Y) \ge XY - \frac{1}{2} \ge \frac{1}{2}XY$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{U}$. This yields that the perimeter of C is at least $\mu\delta^{-2}$, for some constant μ , and hence the diameter of S is of the same order.

Alternatively, one may show that the projection of \mathcal{U} to the line at the angle of $\pi/4$ with \mathbf{v}_0 has diameter at least $\mu\delta^{-2}$ for some constant μ .